

# Ulnobasilic Arteriovenous Fistulae for Hemodialysis

An ulnobasilic arteriovenous (AV) fistula was constructed in 29 patients; in one patient it was constructed twice. These were patients in whom the classic AV fistula (radiocephalic) had either failed or was not possible due to poor vein quality. Of the 3 ulnobasilic AV fistulae constructed, there was primary non-functioning in 2 patients and the fistula was not used in 3 patients who soon underwent transplantation. In the remaining 24 patients, the ulnobasilic AV fistula has been successfully used for periods of between 4 weeks and 140 weeks. In all but 1 patient, the blood flow was adequate. Another patient developed irreversible edema of the hand following the fistule surgery. In 1 of the patients with a primary non-functioning fistula, a second unobasilic AV fistula was constructed in the other hand and is functioning well.

he creation of an arteriovenous (AV) fistula in the forearm provides an easy access for hemodialysis—via simple venipuncture—in patients who are on maintenance hemodialysis.¹ Traditionally, an AV fistula is created by the anastomosis of the radial artery and one of the forearm veins. However, by the time that some patients reach our nephrology unit, the cephalic vein has been repeatedly punctured during blood sampling and intravenous fluid administration and, hence, the construction of a classic AV fistula is not possible.

The other sites described for the construction of an AV fistula are:

- the distal brachial artery to a branch of the antecubital vein.
- the middle brachial artery to the cephalic vein,
- the middle brachial artery to the basilic vein,
- the ulnar artery to the basilic vein.2

Here we report on 30 cases in which an ulnobasilic AV fistula was used as an access for hemodialysis. Slight difficulty in cannulation was experienced during the first few weeks following construction of the ulnobasilic *f* fistula due to its position (*Figure 1*).

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Over a 29-month period between Octob 1993 and March 1997, a total 30 ulnobasil AV fistulae were constructed between t ulnar artery and basilic vein in the distal ha of the forearm in 29 chronic renal failure p tients (of whom 12 were diabetic).

In 10 of the cases, the classic radicephalic AV fistula had failed in one han in 4 cases it had failed in both hands, and in the remaining 16 cases the procedulus was not possible due to thrombosis of the cephalic veins.

Ulnobasilic AV fistula construction consisting of an anastomosis of the proymal end of the basilic vein to the side of tulnar artery—was performed under locanesthesia. The surgical procedure is sliglly more delicate than that for a classic fist la, since both the ulnar artery and basil vein require greater mobilization.

Patients were dialyzed 2–3 times a wefor 4 hours per dialysis. On an average, tifistula was first used 6 weeks after costruction. The vessels were cannulated using

The authors are with the Madras Institute of Nephrology, Vijaya Health Centre, Madras, India.

### Table I. Characteristics of the study patients and results of the ulnobasilic AV fistula construction.

|    | No. of patients              |
|----|------------------------------|
|    | male                         |
|    | female9                      |
|    | Diabetes mellitus            |
|    | Primary non-functioning AVF  |
|    | Total period of use (wk)     |
|    | Total dialyses               |
|    | Complications                |
|    | No. of patients on dialysis* |
| 02 |                              |

\*as of January 1998

either 15-G or 16-G Teflon needles. The average blood flow rate was 250-300 ml/min.

#### **RESULTS**

Tables I and II summarize our data. A total of 30 AV fistulae were constructed in 20 male and 9 female patients. Their ages ranged from 14-85 years. (The 85-year-old patient had been on dialysis for 5 years. Over a 4-year period, radiocephalic fistulae constructed in both of this patient's hands had failed. A proximal brachiocephalic fistula was closed due to severe limb edema.)

These chronic renal failure patients-all of whom were either on maintenance hemodialysis or awaiting a kidney transplant-were dialyzed for a period of 4-140 weeks

6 to 379. Out of the total of 29 patients, 2 experienced primary non-function of their fistula, while in 3 cases the fistula was not used at all because the patients underwent immediate transplantation. In 1 patient with a primary non-functioning fistula.

following construction of their ul-

nobasilic AV fistula. The total num-

ber of dialyses through the

ulnobasilic AV fistulae ranged from

a second ulnobasilic AV fistula was created in the other hand and was successfully used for dialysis. Poor blood flow was noted in only 1 patient, and 1 patient had irreversible limb edema following fistula construction.

Twelve of the study patients later underwent successful transplantation. Three of the 29 patients ex-

> pired-1 due to a high-grade fever of unknown cause, and 2 others due to chest infection and septicemia, respectively. One patient was successfully dialyzed for 14 weeks and then switched over to continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Nine of the patients were still on dialysis at the time of this writing.



Figure 1. The somewhat unusual position of the ulnobasilic arteriovenous fistula makes cannulation a bit difficult.

#### DISCUSSION

The failure of a classic AV fistula is not a contraindication for the creation of an ulnobasilic AV fistula, since the palmar arch maintains a good blood supply. Nonetheless, reports on ulnobasilic AV fistulae are scarce.

Hanson et al. in 1967 published a report of 5 ulnobasilic fistulae constructed in 4 patients with successful dialysis in 3 of them.3 Lindstedt in 1968 mentioned the possibility of an anastomosis between the ulnar artery and basilic vein when the cephalic vein is thrombosed.4

Kinnaert et al. in 1971 reported on 16 ulnobasilic fistulae constructed in 11 patients.5 In that study, 3 immediate thromboses occurred in the same patient. Six late thromboses were observed in other patients: 2 after kidney transplantation and 4 after hemodialysis was initiated. Seven out of the 10 patients in whom the fistula was used were dialyzed adequately for periods ranging from 5 weeks to 15 months, and no adverse effect owing to the fistula was noted. The type of anastomosis used in these patients was side to side in all but 2 of the patients. The fistulae were constructed under general anesthesia.

Here we have successfully dialyzed 25 patients using ulnobasilic AV fistulae over a period of 4-140 weeks without any complications. In these patients, a traditional radiocephalic fistula had failed or was not possible. Considering the low incidence of complications, an ulnobasilic AV fistula should be considered before proceeding with a graft insertion.

#### References

- 1. Brescia MJ. Cimino JE, Appel K. Chronic hemodialysis using venipuncture and a surgically created arteriovenous fistula N Engl J Med 1966;
- 2. Krupski WC, Deuney M, Webb RL, Access for Dialysis. In: Introduction to Dialysis (2nd Ed.), MG Logan, P Schoenfeld (eds.). New

continued on page 332



#### **ULNOBASILIC AV FISTULA**

continued from page 316

| Table II. D | etails of u | ılnobasili | c AV fist | ula consti | ruction |
|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|
|             |             | 29* stud   |           |            |         |

| Case | Age<br>(yr) | Sex | Period of Use<br>(wk) | No. of<br>Dialyses | Remarks               |  |
|------|-------------|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1    | 52          | f   | 16                    | 48                 | DM, renal Tx          |  |
| 2    | 56          | m   | 12                    | 36                 | renal Tx              |  |
| 3    | 58          | m   | 0                     | 0                  | DM, non-functioning   |  |
| 4    | 38          | f   | 13                    | 39                 | renal Tx              |  |
| 5    | 65          | m   | 120                   | 265                | DM, functioning       |  |
| 6    | 52          | m   | 0                     | 0                  | DM, not used          |  |
| 7    | 60          | m   | 96                    | 272                | DM, functioning       |  |
| 8    | 56          | m   | 15                    | 42                 | renal Tx              |  |
| 9    | 46          | f   | 12                    | 32                 | expired               |  |
| 10   | 42          | m   | 14                    | 40                 | DM, renal Tx          |  |
| 11   | 62          | m   | 16                    | 48                 | DM, expired           |  |
| 12   | 72          | f   | 16                    | 38                 | CAPD                  |  |
| 13   | 28          | m   | 16                    | 46                 | expired               |  |
| 14   | 42          | m   | 26                    | 67                 | renal Tx              |  |
| 15   | 40          | m   | 17                    | 50                 | renal Tx              |  |
| 16   | 55          | m   | 12                    | 25                 | DM, renal Tx          |  |
| 17   | 68          | m   | 56                    | 152                | DM, functioning       |  |
| 18   | 72          | f   | 110                   | 326                | functioning           |  |
| 19   | 40          | m   | 5                     | 12                 | functioning, renal Tx |  |
| 20   | 55          | f   | 4                     | 8                  | DM, inadequate flow   |  |
| 21   | 28          | f   | 13                    | 45                 | renal Tx              |  |
| 22   | 52          | m   | 0                     | 0                  | DM, not used          |  |
| 23   | 14          | m   | 156                   | 379                | functioning           |  |
| 24   | 62          | ſ   | 152                   | 318                | functioning           |  |
| 25   | 50          | f   | 0                     | 0                  | not used              |  |
| 26*  | 45          | f   | 0                     | 0                  | non-functioning       |  |
| 27   | 45          | f   | 10                    | 29                 | 2nd fistula, renal Tx |  |
| 28   | 70          | m   | 92                    | 192                | DM, functioning       |  |
| 29   | 85          | m   | 72                    | 206                | functioning           |  |
| 30   | 52          | f   | 60                    | 162                | functioning           |  |

<sup>\*</sup>I patient received a second fistula after the first failed; DM = diabetes mellitus

York: Churchill Livingstone, Inc., 1991, p 85. 3. Hanson JS, Carmody M, Keogh B, Odwyer WF. Br Med J 1967; 4:586.

4. Lindstedt EM. Proc Eur Dial Transpl Assoc

1968; 5:391.

5. Kinnaert P, Veerstraeten P, Geens M, Toussiant C. Ulnar arteriovenous fistula for maintenance hemodialysis. Br J Surg 1971; 58:641-643. D31

## AD INDEX

| Amgen, Inc.<br>(RS# 5)306-308   |
|---|
| Braintree Laboratories (RS# 4, 18)  |
| Environmental Test Systems (RS# 20)   |
| Fresenius (RS# 3, 11)   |
| Gambro AB (RS# 19) Back Cover   |
| Genzyme (RS# 8)316A-D   |
| Nurses Rx<br>(RS# 17)   |
| Renal Dialysis Center of Las Vegas (RS# 14)   |
| Renal Medical Services (RS# 15)   |
| Renal Systems Minntech Corp<br>(RS# 12)   |
| Rockwell Medical Technologies (RS# 6, 17) 311, 315  |
| Sigma-Tau Pharmaceuticals (RS# 9)319-320  |
| The London Clinic (RS# 16)  |
| Total Renal Care (RS# 13)   |
| Wil-Med Global (RS# 10)   |
| For advertising information, please contact Tom S. Blackstone at: 972/985-3100 Fax 972/985-3648 2401 Regal Rd., Plano, TX 75075 |
| Referral Directory, Recruitment & Classified Advertising Doug Lynner  |
| 800/442-5667 or 818/782-7328<br>Fax 818/782/7450  |
| District O Transminstation  |

Dialysis & Transplantation

7628 Densmore Ave.

Van Nuys, CA 91406-2042